
IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI





IN THE MATTER OF:

Citizens for the Welfare and Protection of Animals & Anr.

.... Petitioners

Versus

State (Govt. of NCT of Delhi) & Another

... Respondents

AMENDED MEMO OF PARTIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

 Citizens for the Welfare and Protection of Animals, Address: 8740, Sector-C, Pocket-III, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi-110 070 ... Petitioner No.1

2. Ms. Sonya Ghosh
Founder Trustee,
Citizens for the Welfare and protection of Animals
R/o. D-3/3172,
Vasant Kunj,
New Delhi-110070 Petitioners No.2

Versus

- 1. STATE (Govt. of NCT of Delhi)
- Commissioner of Police Delhi Police Police Head Quarters I.P. Estate, New Delhi

Respondents

- 3. The Station House Officer.
 Police Station, Vasant Kunj
 New Delhi- 110070
- 4. The Animal Welfare Board of India 3767 Sector 23, Gurgaon- 122017 9 Haryana)
- 5. The Municipal Corporation of Delhi
 Through Department of veterinary services
 Town Hall, Chandni Chowk
 New Delhi

Respondents

KANCHAN SINGH ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONERS D-34, LOWER GROUND FLOOR JANGPURA EXTENSION NEW DELHI 110 014

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(CRL) 467/2009 & Crl.M.As. 6124/2010, 6125/2010, 7887/2010, 3905/2009, 6124/2010

CITIZENS FOR THE WELFARE & PROTECTION OF ANIMALS & ANR. Petitioner
Mr.Aditya Shamlal, Advocate.

versus

STATE & ANR.

..... Respondent

Through:

Ms.Urvi Kuthiala, Advocate for Mr. Rajesh Mahajan, ASC for the State. Ms.Anjali Sharma, Advocate for R4/Animal Welfare Board of India. Mr. Ajay Arora, Standing Counsel

for MCD.

GP. Capt. Karan Singh Bhati, Advocate with Ms. Aishwarya

Bhati, Advocate for the applicant in

Crl.M.A. 6124/2010.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.L. MEHTA

ORDER 12.12.2011

%

As regards the orders which have been passed by this court on 18.12.2009 and 04.02.2010, they are in the process of implementation as stated by the counsel appearing for the Animal Welfare Board of India. With regard to the grievance of the petitioner Sonya Ghosh as against the order of

Special Executive Magistrate (SEM) dated 28th March, 2009, directing her to execute personal bond under Section 107/111 CrPC, it has become infructuous in view of the fact that period of bond ordered by SEM having already expired and the substantial directions passed by this court on 18.12.2009 & 04.02.2010.

Learned counsel appearing for the Resident Welfare Association, Kasturba Gandhi Marg states that RWA has no objection with regard to the feeding site which has been identified in the by-lane, but however, dogs may not be permitted within the campus inhabited by the officers and their employees.

This small issue can be sorted out by the Resident Welfare Association's representatives and the Animal Welfare Board of India. RWA representatives and the Animal Welfare Board of India will sort out this issue in the presence of their respective counsels. It is hoped that the Animal Welfare Board of India will take care of the grievance of the RWA and RWA will also reciprocately appreciate the need for identification of the sites so that the orders of this court are implemented.

Petition and the miscellaneous applications stand disposed of with the above direction.

Order dasti.

Sd/-M.L. MEHTA, JUDGE

DECEMBER 12, 2011/akb

